



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,241	11/03/2003	Wamis Singhatat	ZL 0192	3783
23367	7590	05/01/2007	EXAMINER	
GENE WARZECHA			SWIGER III, JAMES L	
LINVATEC CORPORATION			ART UNIT	
11311 CONCEPT BOULEVARD			PAPER NUMBER	
LARGO, FL 33773			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/700,241		SINGHATAT, WAMIS	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	James L. Swiger		3733	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) James L. Swiger. (3) Cary Reeves.
 (2) Eduardo C. Robert. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 22.


Identification of prior art discussed: Sklar (US PUB 2002/0055780), Huebner US Pat 5,234,430, Mahoney, III (US Pat 5,282,802, and Li (US Pat 5,707,395), and Wenstrom, JR. (US Pat 6,152,928).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 EDUARDO C. ROBERT
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Representative for applicant wanted to discuss application of the Sklar reference. Examiner asserted that at least claim 1 still read on the prior art of record. The encircling and securing means was basis for a broad interpretation. Further, the anchor in the Sklar reference was interpreted with functional limitations wherein the anchor would be capable of engaging the graft, encircling means, and the bone tunnel together. Representative also wished to discuss the structural limitations of claim 22 .